FILED

MAY 2 7 2004

PETER C. HARVEY
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
Division of Law - 5th Floor
124 Halsey Street
P.O. Box 45029

NEW JERSEY STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

Newark, New Jersey 07101

Attorney for the State Board of Medical Examiners

By: Tobey Palan

Deputy Attorney General Tel. (973) 648-2436

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF LAW & PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

IN THE MATTER OF THE SUSPENSION OR : REVOCATION OF THE REGISTRATION OF :

LOIS WEIERSTALL

TO PRACTICE ATHLETIC TRAINING IN THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Administrative Action

PROVISIONAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

This matter was opened to the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) upon receipt of information which the Board has reviewed and on which the following preliminary findings of fact and conclusions of law are made;

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent, Lois Weierstall, is an athletic trainer registered in the State of New Jersey. In her capacity as a licensee, respondent was the athletic trainer for the high school soccer team and the victim was a member of the soccer team.
 - 2. On November 20, 20001, respondent was indicted in

Superior Court of New Jersey, Bergen County, on four Counts of alleged sexual contact and four Counts of sexual assault upon J.M., a minor, whom respondent had supervisory or disciplinary power over, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2:C14-2C(3) and 2:C14-3B. (Copy of Indictment is attached).

- 3. Respondent voluntarily surrendered her registration to practice athletic training pursuant to an Interim Order of Surrender, filed on June 18, 2001, until the Board's review of the resolution of the criminal charges filed against respondent were resolved. (Copy of Interim Order is attached).
- 4. On or about September 27, 2002, respondent pled guilty to Count Three, second degree crime (for sentencing purposes third degree crime), of the Indictment in violation of N.J.S.A. 2:C14-2C(3). (Copy of Judgement of Conviction and plea transcript is attached). Count Three states that respondent did commit sexual assault upon the victim with a birth date of 8/23/84, by performing an act of sexual penetration, to wit: digital penetration upon the victim, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim.
- 5. Respondent was sentenced to four (4) years of custody to the Department of Corrections and community supervision for life. (Copy of Judgment of Conviction is attached). Presently, respondent is incarcerated at the Edna Mahon Correctional Facility

in Clinton, New Jersey. Respondent is not again eligible for parole until January 25, 2005.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The above guilty plea in New Jersey provides grounds to take disciplinary action against respondent's registration to practice athletic training in New Jersey since respondent pled guilty to a crime involving moral turpitude or relating adversely to the activity regulated by the Board N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(f).

- 1. Respondent's registration to practice athletic training in New Jersey is hereby revoked.
- 2. The within Order shall be subject to finalization by the Board at 5:00 p.m. on the 30th business day following entry hereof unless Respondent requests a modification or dismissal of the above stated Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law by:
- a) Submitting a written request for modification or dismissal to William Roeder, Executive Director, State Board of Medical Examiners, P.O. Box 183, Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0183.
- b) Setting forth in writing any and all reasons why said findings and conclusions should be modified or dismissed.
- c) Submitting any and all documents or other written evidence supporting Respondent's request for consideration and reasons therefore or offered in mitigation of penalty.

Any submissions will be reviewed by the Board, and the Board will thereafter determine whether further proceedings are necessary. If no material discrepancies are raised through a supplemental submission during the thirty-day period, or if the Board is not persuaded that submitted materials merit further consideration, a Final Order of Discipline will be entered.

In the event that Respondent's submissions establish a need for further proceedings, including, but not limited to, an evidentiary hearing, respondent shall be notified with regard thereto. In the event that an evidentiary hearing is ordered, the preliminary findings of fact and conclusions of law contained herein shall serve as notice of the factual and legal allegations in such proceeding. Further, in the event a hearing is held and upon review of the record, the Board shall not be limited to the finding, conclusions and sanctions herein.

NEW JERSEY STATE BOARD OF

M. Wallace M.D.

MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By:

David Wallace, M.D. Board President

P.O. 1532-01

11/20/01
SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
BERGEN COUNTY - LAW DIVISION
NOVEMBER TERM A.D. 2001

SECOND

STATED SESSION

THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY :

-vs-

LOIS WEIERSTALL

Indictment No.

S-2736-01

DEFENDANTS

The Grand Jurors of the State of New Jersey, for the County of Bergen, upon their oaths present as a

FIRST COUNT (Fourth Degree)

that LOIS WEIERSTALL, on or about, during and between the 1st day of November, 2000 to the 31st day of March, 2001, in the Borough of Paramus, in the County of Bergen, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did commit criminal sexual contact upon J.M., date of birth 8/23/84, by intentionally touching the victim's breasts, for the purpose of degrading or humiliating the victim or to sexually arouse or sexually gratify the actor, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim; contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:14-3b, and against the peace of this State, the Government and dignity of the same.

SECOND COUNT (Fourth Degree)

PAGE OF PAGE

AND the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, do further PRESENT that LOIS WEIERSTALL, on or about, during and between the 1st day of January, 2001 to the 31st day of March, 2001, in the Boroughs of Paramus and River

Edge, in the County of Bergen, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did commit criminal sexual contact upon J.M., date of birth 8/23/84, by intentionally touching the victim's vagina, for the purpose of degrading or humiliating the victim or to sexually arouse or sexually gratify the actor, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim; contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:14-3b, and against the peace of this State, the Government and dignity of the same.

THIRD COUNT (Second Degree)

AND the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, do further PRESENT that LOIS WEIERSTALL, on or about, during and between the 1st day of January, 2001 to the 31st day of March, 2001, in the Boroughs of Paramus and River Edge, in the County of Bergen, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did commit sexual assault upon J.M., date of birth 8/23/84, by performing an act of sexual penetration, to wit: digital penetration upon the victim, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim; contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2c(3), and against the peace of this State, the Government and dignity of the same.

FOURTH COUNT (Second Degree)

AND the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, do further PRESENT that LOIS WEIERSTALL, on or about February of 2001, in the Borough of River Edge, in the County of Bergen, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did commit sexual assault upon J.M., date of birth 8/23/84, by performing an act of sexual penetration, to wit: cunnilingus upon the victim, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim; contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2c(3), and against the peace of this State, the Government and dignity of the same.

CASE CI PAGE

FIFTH COUNT (Second Degree)

AND the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, do further PRESENT that LOIS WEIERSTALL, on or about, during and between the 19th day of May, 2001 and the 20th day May, 2001, in the Borough of Bloomfield, in the County of Essex, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did commit sexual assault upon J.M., date of birth 8/23/84, by performing an act of sexual penetration, to wit: have the victim perform cunnilingus upon the defendant, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim; contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2c(3), and against the peace of this State, the Government and dignity of the same.

SIXTH COUNT (Second Degree)

AND the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, do further PRESENT that LOIS WEIERSTALL, on or about, during and between the 19th day of May, 2001 and the 20th day of May, 2001, in the Borough of Bloomfield, in the County of Essex, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did commit sexual assault upon J.M. date of birth 8/23/84, by performing an act of sexual penetration, to wit: digital penetration upon the victim, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim; contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:14-2c(3), and against the peace of this State, the Government and dignity of the same.

SEVENTH COUNT (Fourth Degree)

AND the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, do further PRESENT that LOIS WEIERSTALL, on or about, during and between the 19th day of May, 2001 and the 20th day of May, 2001, in the Borough of Bloomfield, in the County of Essex, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did commit criminal sexual contact upon J.M., date of birth 8/23/84, by intentionally touching the victim's vagina, for the purpose of degrading or humiliating the victim or to sexually arouse or sexually gratify

THE TOP TO DEGE

the actor, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim; contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C:14-3b, and against the peace of this State, the Government and dignity of the same.

EIGHTH COUNT (Fourth Degree)

AND the Grand Jurors aforesaid, upon their oaths aforesaid, do further PRESENT that LOIS WEIERSTALL, on or about, during and between the 19th day of May, 2001 and the 20th day of May, 2001, in the Borough of Bloomfield, in the County of Essex, and within the jurisdiction of this Court, did commit criminal sexual contact upon J.M., date of birth 8/23/84, by intentionally touching the victim's breasts, for the purpose of degrading or humiliating the victim or to sexually arouse or sexually gratify the actor, the victim being at least sixteen but less than eighteen years of age and the actor having supervisory or disciplinary power over the victim, contrary to the provisions of N.J.S.A. 2C.14-3b, and against the peace of this State, the Government and dignity of the same.

WILLIAM H. SCHMIDT BERGEN COUNTY PROSECUTOR

PATRICIA BAGLIVI By: Assistant Prosecutor

A True Bill

ce Riving Parc

1 here!

取る ラン・ミケックーランフス

WARE CIF -

State of New Jersey

٧.



New Jersey Superior Court Law Division - Criminal **Bergen County**

Defendant: LOIS WEIERSTALL (Specify complete name) AWA LOIS E. WEIERSTALL					(X) Judgment of Conviction (A) Change of Judgment			
Data of Birth:	09/20/1951	SBI Number	() Change of Judgment () Order for Commitment					
Date of Arrest:	05/24/2001	Date Indictment/Accu	sation Filed: 11/20/2001		() Indictment / Accusation Dismissed () Judgment of Acquittal			
Date of Original (Ples: 01/07/2002	Onginal Plea: (X) Not 0	Suitty () Gui	lty				
Adjudication by:	(X) Guilty Ple () Jury Trial	a Date. Dete:	06/17/2002		() Non-Jury Trial () Dismissed / Acquitted	Date Date		
ORIGINAL CHA	RGES							
IND / ACC NO.	COUNT	DESCRIPTION			DEGREE	STATUTE		
01-11-2736-l (1532-01)	1, 2, 7 & 8 3, 4, 5 & 6	CRIMINAL SEXI SEXUAL ASSAI		T	4 TH 2 ^{HO}	2C:14-3B 2C:14-2C(3)		
FINAL CHARGE	S							
COUNT	DESCRIPTION				DEGREE	STATUTE		
3	SEXUAL AS	SAULT	(For	Sentencin	2 [№] g Purposes 3 ^{RO} Degree)	2C:14-2C(3)		
COUNT 3;	FOUR (4) YEARS IN THE CUSTODY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS; DEFENDANT MUST FORFEIT HER COMPUTER; DISMISS COUNTS 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8 BY MOTION OF THE STATE. (MEGAN'S LAW, C.S.L. AND DNA TESTING APPLY.)							
() The defender sentence of r () The court find () The court find () The court find	nt is hereby sentar it is hareby ordered nearceration is that the defendar is that the defendar	iced to community super to serve a year of serve a years of the serve of	ervision for life, ir term of parole sterized by a pai ffender treatmen e in sex offende	supervision Item of recet at. r treatment.	which term shall begin as socialitive and compulsive behavio			
(X) It is further 0	RDERED that the	aheriff deliver the defer	ndant to the app	ropriate corri	ectional authority.			
(X) Defendant is	to receive credit fo	or time spent in custody	(<u>R</u> , 3 21-8).		TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS	DATE (From/To) 05/24/2001 - 05/24/2001		
() Defendant is (N J S A, 2C,44-		credit for time spant in	custody		TOTAL NUMBER OF DAYS	DATE (From/To)		
Total Custodial T	erm FOUR (4)	YEARS	Institution	DOC	Total Probation			
dminiavative Office of U ale Buresu of Identifica OPIES TO CHIEF PRO	if'an	STATE POLICE	AOC CRIMINAL PRA	CTICE DIVISION		CPOTOGO (100 CENZO(02) Page 1 of 2 DAS OR COUNTY PENAL INSTITUTION		

SIND BUTON OF IDENTIFY PROBATION OFFICER

DOMEST O____

Total FINE: S	if any of the offennes	OCCUPANT OF THE PARTY OF THE PA				
	5	occurred on or after July 9, 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
TOTAL RESTITUTION: \$	A mendetory Dirug Enforcement and Demand Reduction (D.E.D.R.) penalty is imposed for each could/distribute in # times for each)					
If the offense occurred on or after Decomber 23, 1991, an	1st Degree @ 23	000				
assumement of \$50 is imposed on each count on which the				ree @ \$750		
defendent was convicted unless the box below indicates a higher assessment pursuant to N.J.S.A. 2C 43-3.1. (Assessment is \$30.000)			Disorde	by Parsons or Patty Disorder		
E offense is on or after January 9, 1986 but before December 23	3rd Degree @ \$10	100	Persons	t \$500		
1891, unloss a higher ponaity is noted. Assessment is 325 to offenso is before January 9, 1956.)			Total D.E.I	D.R. Penalty		
(X) Assessment Imposed on count(s): 3	() Court further ORDERS that collection of the D.E.D.R. penalty by suspended upon defendants residential drug program for the term of the program.					
IS EACH \$50.00	2) A forensic laboratory fee of \$50 per offense is ORDERED Offenses @ \$50 Total lab fees:					
Total VCCB Assessment: \$50	3) Name of Drugs invo					
	4) A mandatory driv er:	s license suspension of	13 ORDER	ED.		
() installment payments due at the rate of \$	The suspension sha	h				
	Drivers License Numb	er				
PER MONTH	(IF THE COURT IS UNA	BLE TO COLLECT THE LI CE	NSE PLEASE ALSO C	OMPLETE THE FOLLOW IN		
eginning (date):	Defendant's address:					
	Eye color	Sex:	Date of birth			
	() The defendant is the Onvers License Number	e holder of an out-of-state dri II.	ver's license from the f	offowing jurisdiction:		
!	() Defendant's non-r	esident driving privileges are	handhi mirakad faa	months		
the oriense occurred on or after August 2, 1993, a 375 Salle No				_		
the orienza occurred on or after January 5, 1994 and the a enter mount per month:	nce is to proballon, a fee o	of up to \$25 per month for the	orobalionary term is or	dered. (<u>P.L.</u> 1993, <u>c.</u> 273)		
the crime occurred on or after January 9, 1997, a \$30 Lew Enfo	rcement Officers Training	and Equipment Fund penalty	s ordered	\$30		
the crime occurred on or after May 4, 2001, and the def and anti- ndur 20(13-15(2), and angaing the wetters of a child by engaging silvars of a child pursuant to 20(24-46(4), luding or enticing a child traught to 20(13-1) or minal mastraint our state of 20(13), 20(16)	d pursuant to 20:13-6, one	woold impair of debauch the	morets of a minor under to 20,14-36 if the victi	r 2C-24-4a, endangering the n Is a minor, Kidnapping		
				TO TO TO TO THE PROPERTY OF EN		
petitution pure usn't to 2C;34-1b(3) or (4), or an attempt to clommithese offenses.				MEE 16-5057-01-		
petitution pursuant to ZC:34-1b(3) or (4), or an attempt to clomm those offenses.	Telephone number: 201-646-3074	Name (Attorney for Defano	lant at Santancing!	PO 10 5057-01-		
petitution pursuent to 2C:34-1b(3) or (4), or an attempt to committees offenses. Interpretation of Person preparing this form). TERRY TROY	Telephone number: 201-646-3074	Name (Attorney for Defend NANCY E	lant at Santancing!	PO 10 5057-01-		
cetitution pursuant to 2C:34-1b(3) or (4), or an attempt to committees offenses. Ima (Court clark or Person preparing (nis. form). TERRY TROY [ATEMENT OF REASONS- Include all applicable agg	Telephone number, 201-646-3074 cravating and mitigating	Name (Attorney for Defend NANCY E	lant at Sentencing): E. LUCIANNA, ESI	PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGE		
petitution pursuant to 2C:34-1b(3) or (4), or an attempt to c ommittees offenses. TERRY TROY ATEMENT OF REASONS- include all applicable agging gravating: (3) The risk that the defendant will commit a stigating: (7) The defendant has no history of our delinesting and the stigating: (7) The defendant has no history of our delinesting: (7) The defendant has no history of our delinesting: (8)	Telephone number, 201-646-3074 Travating and mitigating another offense, (9) The	Name (Attorney for Defance NANCY Englactors).	fant at Sentencing): E. LUCIANNA, ESI Fendant and others for	PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGE TO THE PAGE T		
TERRY TROY [ATEMENT OF REASONS- Include all applicable aggingravating: (3) The risk that the defendant will commit a tigating: (7) The defendant has no history of prior delinituit of circumstances unlikely to recur; (11) The imprison	Telephone number, 201-646-3074 irravating and mitigating and mitigating another offense, (9) The equancy or criminal actionment of the defendant	Name (Attorney for Defance NANCY Englactors).	fant at Sentencing): E. LUCIANNA, ESI Fendant and others for	PACE 2 OF 2 PACE		
TERRY TROY TATEMENT OF REASONS- Include all applicable agg gravating: (3) The risk that the defendant will commit a tigating: (7) The defendant has no history of prior delinities of circumstances unlikely to recur; (11) The imprison DGE: JOSEPH S. CONTE, J.S.C.	Telephone number, 201-646-3074 irravating and mitigating and mitigating another offense, (9) The equancy or criminal actionment of the defendant	Name (Attorney for Defance NANCY Englactors).	lant at Sentencing): E. LUCIANNA, ESt fendant and others fi ing life: (8) The defen idship to himself or f	PACE 2 OF 2 PACE		
retitution pursuant to 2C:34-1b(3) or (4), or an attempt to committees offenses. TERRY TROY ATEMENT OF REASONS- Include all applicable agging are vating: (3) The risk that the defendant will commit a significant commit a significant commit and commit	Telephone number, 201-646-3074 irravating and mitigating and mitigating another offense, (9) The equancy or criminal actionment of the defendant	Name (Attorney for Defance NANCY Englactors).	lant at Sentencing): E. LUCIANNA, ESt fendant and others fi ing life: (8) The defen idship to himself or f	PAGE 2 OF 2 PAGE 3. OF 2 PAGE 3		

p.14

Fax:973-648-3879 Oct 31 2003 12:44

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY BERGEN COUNTY LAW DIVISION - CRIMINAL PART ACCUSATION NO. S-2736-01 APP. DIV. NO.

THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY, :

Plaintiff,

TRANSCRIPT

vs.

OF

LOIS WEIERSTALL,

SENTENCE

Defendant: :

Place: Bergen County Justice Center

10 Main Street

Hackensack, New Jersey

Date:

September 27, 2002

BEFORE:

HONORABLE JOSEPH S. CONTE, J.S.C.

TRANSCRIPT ORDERED BY:

TOBEY PALAN, ESQ., (Deputy Attorney General)

APPEARANCES:

PATRICIA BAGLIVI, ESQ., Assistant Prosecutor, Bergen County, Attorney for the State.

NANCY E. LUCIANNA, ESQ., (Nancy E. Lucianna, PC), Attorney for the Defendant.

Video Recorded By: N/A

TAPE REPORTERS, INC.

Mary Nelson

29 Beach Road

Monmouth Beach, New Jersey 07750

732-263-1191

I N D E X September 27, 2002

<u>SUMMATION</u>

BY: Ms. Lucianna 11 BY: Ms. Baglivi 32

THE COURT Sentence

Colloguy

THE COURT: This the matter of the State of New Jersey versus Lois Weierstall.

Appearances by the State?

MS. BAGLIVI: Patricia Baglivi, assistant prosecutor, for the State.

MS. LUCIANNA: Nancy Lucianna, representing the defendant, Lois Weierstall.

THE COURT: This matter has been adjourned to today to give everyone enough time to submit documents, information to the Court, to have the Avenel. Are both sides ready to proceed?

MS. BAGLIVI: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Ms. Lucianna, you did receive a copy of the presentence report?

MS. LUCIANNA: Yes, I did.

THE COURT: Reviewed it with your client? MS. LUCIANNA: Oh, yes, we reviewed it at

length.

THE COURT: Including all the letters?

MS. LUCIANNA: Yes.

THE COURT: The State had a chance to review the memorandum submitted by Ms. Lucianna?

MS. BAGLIVI: No, Judge, I didn't get it.
MS. LUCIANNA: It was delivered yesterday.

MS. BAGLIVI: I did not get it.

91.9

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

THE	COURT:	Do you	need	some	
MC	PACT TOT	. T# 3.			

MS. BAGLIVI: If it was delivered here, my

office is not here.

want it.

THE COURT: Do you want some time to review

that? MS. BAGLIVI: No, Judge.

MS. LUCIANNA: I'll give it to you if you It was, it was delivered right over here yesterday afternoon.

MS. BAGLIVI: Right, and my office is not in the courthouse.

MS. LUCIANNA: Yeah, but they said that they would give it to you.

MS. BAGLIVI: Okay, but I didn't get it.

It's probably with Mr. Rem's memo.

THE COURT: The State needs -- you're ready to proceed.

MS. BAGLIVI: Yes.

THE COURT: You know there was quite a few document submitted by Ms. Lucianna to the Court pertaining to mitigating factors which should be considered by this Court.

MS. BAGLIVI: I would assume those are the letters that Probation already supplied? Is that what -- the documentation you're talking about?

Colloquy

5

MS. LUCIANNA: The letters --

MS. BAGLIVI: Because those I received, the letters I did receive.

MS. LUCIANNA: The letters that were attached to discovery that you supplied to me from the victim. MS. BAGLIVI:

Judge, I --MS. LUCIANNA: I think that's what the judge

is referring to.

MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, I'm sorry. What are you

referring to?

THE COURT: I'm referring to the package that was delivered to this Court yesterday, September 26th. The letters were all pretty much included in the first package that was delivered September 17th.

MS. BAGLIVI: From Probation or from Ms.

Lucianna? Because I was --

> THE COURT: From Ms. Lucianna. --

MS. BAGLIVI: Okay.

THE COURT: -- She delivered two packages to

the Court.

MS. BAGLIVI: I got no packages from Ms. Lucianna. Now I understood there was just one yesterday. Is there one that was previously delivered? Because -- I mean, I have the medical that she submitted. I have all the letters that Probation

11.9

Oct 31 2003 12:44

Fax:973-648-3879

NIDOL

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

submitted. Are those what you're referring to? Ms. Lucianna --

THE COURT: Well the first one is cc'd to Mr. Rowlands (phonetic). I'm sure you have that as part of the presentence report.

MS. BAGLIVI: Right. Because he did supply me with approximately -- actually three packages. had only a couple of letters and one had a few more letters in it. So I did receive, on three separate occasions, stacks of letters from Probation.

THE COURT: Okay Yesterday I received a memorandum on behalf of her client. It was ten pages with some exhibits -- quite a few exhibits. like to have you review it for a few minutes.

MS. BAGLIVI: You know, Judge, I really have a problem with the Defense counsel sending something to me yesterday afternoon. If I had received it, when was I going to respond to it? I mean, this sentence -this woman pled guilty back in -- I can't even read the date, but it was probably April. I mean, and the night before the sentencing I get a ten page document, which I didn't even receive. But even if I had, when was I going to respond to it? I mean, I really think it's unfair. I have no problems with her submitting documentation, but could I have a little time to review

Colloquy

7

it?

MS. LUCIANNA: Judge, I have a copy of it here for her. It was delivered yesterday afternoon to the Prosecutor's Office. It contained --

THE COURT: That is very late though, Ms. Lucianna. I mean, considering the fact the sentencing was set for today, clearly (Indiscernible) afternoon --

MS. LUCIANNA: It was in response of receiving the victim's letter, which I just received yesterday, which was stamped the 16th, but I had not gotten it until yesterday when I came down into chambers to see if there was a letter.

THE COURT: The Court is ready to proceed, if you wish to have a few minutes to look at the document

> MS. BAGLIVI: I don't --

MS. LUCIANNA: The two letters --

MS. BAGLIVI: -- I am not --

MS. LUCIANNA: -- (Indiscernible)

MS. BAGLIVI: -- putting this off. I am not even asking for any time to review it. ask that you just take into consideration in anything I would just that she says in that letter that even if I had received it yesterday afternoon, when was I going to respond to it? And the victim's letter was in the

\$6.50 S.

Court's chambers weeks ago and she could have picked it up at any time. It didn't --

MS. LUCIANNA: (Indiscernible) --

MS. BAGLIVI: -- go through the Prosecutor's Office. If it had I would have given a copy to her. But it went straight to the Court.

MS. LUCIANNA: Judge, I don't want to belabor it. It's all the letters that Ms. Baglivi supplied to me. Those are the exhibits, all those e-mails, all those letters, it's State's evidence, which was supplied in discovery, so it's not going to be a surprise to her. Those letters she gave to me as part of discovery.

THE COURT: So all those exhibits --

MS. LUCIANNA: Yes.

THE COURT: -- are part of the discovery --

MS. LUCIANNA: That's right.

THE COURT: -- that the State would have had

or did supply to you.

MS. LUCIANNA: Yes. None of that stuff did I

get from my client --

MS. BAGLIVI: But you said there was a ten

page memo that Ms. Lucianna wrote?

THE COURT: Yes, a ten page sentencing memo. And attached to that were many exhibits, a lot of them

Colloquy

 e-mail messages. MS. LU MS. BA

MS. LUCIANNA: What you gave me. MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, that's not

MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, that's not the point. It's a ten page sentencing memo she wrote and I got delivered to my office yesterday afternoon? I'm not challenging the discovery. It's a ten page legal document that she's submitting on behalf of her client that she expects everyone to drop everything yesterday afternoon and read it, giving the Court yesterday afternoon? I mean, I think that's outrageous.

MS. LUCIANNA: Judge, I think if she knew that there was this letter that was delivered - I reached out to find out if there was a letter. And that was about a week ago. I was told that there wasn't a letter. I came down here yesterday and I was shocked to see that there was this letter from the victim. In response to that I wrote the memorandum. Because I think the judge -- I think the Court needs to put this letter in its proper context and it needs to know this information that's in there. It's nothing new to Ms. Baglivi. And what's said in the memorandum, I think she can anticipate what I'm saying in the memorandum --

THE COURT: Well let's start. If there's something that comes up as a result of your memorandum

 MS. LUCIANNA: I don't think so, Judge.

THE COURT: -- in order to have additional review, then we'll grant the State that opportunity.

MS. LUCIANNA: I don't think so, but it's up to her. I don't think it's any new information. It's all information that she supplied to me through her office through discovery. And I just wove that information into the facts of the case with the law. That's nothing new.

THE COURT: The basic question, any changes, additions or amendments to the presentence report?

MS. LUCIANNA: Just one that's, that's important. It's on the first page. Where it says final charges, count three? (Indiscernible) aggravated sexual assault. She pled to one count of sexual assault. It's a second degree, that's correct, but it's labeled sexual assault instead of aggravated sexual assault. I don't want the impression (Indiscernible) that it's a first degree crime.

THE COURT: Second degree matter.

MS. LUCIANNA: That's right.

THE COURT: The State?

MS. BAGLIVI: That's correct. THE COURT: You can proceed.

Summation

MS. LUCIANNA: Judge Conte, I don't know what you think about the defendant, Lois Weierstall right now, but I do know that this Court has probably spent a lot of time, yesterday and last night, reading through all the documents that I submitted on her behalf and that the Probation Department submitted on her behalf. I know the Court probably read those letters over very carefully and maybe over and over again.

Judge Conte, you've always impressed me as someone who is an independent thinker, a judge that does not think of his job as perfunctory or irrelevant, a judge that takes care of his sentences, and if there is discretion to be used, will use it in the appropriate case.

Judge, in this case there's many letters that I've submitted, probably about 30 character reference letters from people all across the spectrum. From former parents of, parents of former students, a former principal, a chief of police, doctors, family members, friends. They all wrote to you, told you about my client. I'm sure you read them and reread them.

Judge, I'm sure there's also a lot of pressure on someone sitting in your chair today. There's a lot of pressure to maybe give the maximum in this, in this case, the maximum sentence. It would be

P. 20

15 c. N. 3 p. very easy. The plea bargain calls for a maximum of four years. Judge Conte, I'm sure you could just, with the stroke of a pen, give her four years without controversy and nothing would ever be said or criticized by that sentence.

Judge, I'd ask you though to (Indiscernible) just listen to us for a couple of minutes. important to the defendant. It's important to the It's important to a lot of people that love her. And I ask that you take into account all factors in this case and recognize that not every case is the There's various shades of criminal conduct in the second degree. There's varying shades of criminal conduct across the board. Not every case is the same. If every case was the same, Judge, then maybe we would just throw all the facts into a computer. We don't -won't need judges or prosecutors or (Indiscernible) Defense attorneys to argue and, and talk in front of you afternoons, and it would just pop out a sentence for everyone. Maybe we would just have a -- no, the Legislature gave you discretion. They have you And plea bargain gave you discretion. discretion.

The Legislature has left this Court with what's called residual power. That's 2C:44-1, subdivision D. I'm well acquainted with it. So is the

Summation

prosecutor. I'm sure the Court is. It's a residual power where you could give probation in a case like this if you find what's called an idiosyncratic (phonetic) defendant. That was unforeseen by the Legislature. And you know those cases, and you know the cases of Jibore (phonetic) and Jarback (phonetic) and Hodge (phonetic) and (Indiscernible), and you know them very well, Judge. I'm going to ask you to consider them in this case.

I'm also going to ask you to consider that there is flexibility in the plea agreement, that you can give less than four years. You can, Judge. And I -- and I'm asking you to consider that

Judge, I'm sure while going through all the documents you wondered how did the defendant, Lois Weierstall, how did she become involved in this offense. It befuddles my mind. I think it befuddles her mind, and everyone that loves her and knows her's mind. How did she get involved? This is a woman who comes from a good family, God fearing, hard working people that are of German descent. They're Catholic. The father was a milkman. He was a baker. He worked for American Alloys (phonetic). The mother was a comptroller and a housewife. She's the youngest of three children. She's the only girl in the family.

17

1. V. A.

She has two older brothers. They're hard working people.

This is a defendant who always worked. educated herself. She graduated from Wagner College. I think for the most part she grew up in Hudson County, and then the family moved to River Edge, New Jersey. And she worked very hard to pursue a lifelong dream, and that was to be an athletic trainer. And she went on for her masters degree in Indiana and she also taught as an intern at Fairfield University.

During the course of her entire 25-year career not one complaint about this, this lady, not one complaint about inappropriate behavior, not one complaint about any type of relationship with any of the students or any of the people that she was training.

She worked hard, Judge. And she worked and she became a trainer at Secaucus High School, and then she became a trainer -- an athletic trainer for 14 years at Glen Rock High School. And you have a letter from one of the former principals of the school, Mr. Schlang -- Shine (phonetic), saying how well regarded she was, how professional she was, and how loved she was by the students and the faculty. She was someone who took her job so seriously, Judge.

Summation

15

25

For this offense, Judge, she's let a lot of people down. She's lost a lot. She wasn't thinking straight at the time of this incident. Ms. Weierstall, she's led a blameless life for 51 years -- or 49 years, before this happened. With the exception of about five and a half to six months out of her life -- keep in mind she did plead guilty to only one count of sexual assault. The Court recognizes that there was a relationship here between these two people.

She's a first offender, Judge. She's never had even an arrest in her background. She's not at all the type of person to become involved with a 16-yearold student.

Judge, I don't know if you played varsity sports in high school or college. I did. But I know the, the lack of formality that the coaches and the athletic trainers have with the students when you play It's not as formal of a relationship as a Sports. teacher with a student. There is not direct authority and constant authority by the athletic trainer. It's, it's an atmosphere of camaraderie, informality, a lot of friendliness that goes with playing the sport. just not as formal. I am not implying in any stretch of the imagination that a relationship with one of the team members is appropriate. That -- I think we have

21

22

23

24

25

to keep that in mind, that she was an athletic trainer. She wasn't this individual's homeroom teacher or math teacher or social studies teacher. She was someone that trained her when she was playing soccer, played varsity sports.

Judge Conte, this lady met this young girl through soccer and through an incident at school that took place. And I think you know about the incident. You read about it in the presentence report. And the defendant at that time was very depressed over the declining health of her parents, her best friend. was going through changes of her life. And this young girl, the victim, also was having some problems.

It, it grew gradually, Your Honor, this -- it became a friendship and then it went into the sexual context. Reading the statement of the victim, I think it's very important in this case. It's attached to the presentence report. The victim uses the words "gradual", that it did evolve gradually. She says that it was mutual touching. She never says anywhere who initiated it first. I think that's important to keep in mind.

And there's letters. There's a letter attached to the presentence report, to the original presentence report that I think is very important

Summation '

17

because in that letter the victim refers to the defendant telling her just wait four months. want to get involved in this. I want to move away from I don't think this is right. And she mentions four months -- a four month period to wait. that was referring was wait until after you graduate and then this will be somewhat, somewhat of an acceptable relationship, if -- at least it wouldn't have the athletic trainer/student context to it.

Reading all the letters, Judge, this defendant tried to end this relationship. It was wrong she even got involved in it. It was wrong she even befriended this girl. Wrong to befriend any of these students, Judge, and I, I believe that. And she realizes that. She realizes that, that it was stupid, it was wrong, it was a lapse of judgement to even get friendly with these people, with this young girl. could only lead down the road towards bad things.

She tried to end. And I know we have those letters. I'm not going to go through them. speaks of raw emotions. There's other letters too, Judge, I didn't attach. They're too personal. They're And I think you can glean from them what was going on here.

Sixteen and a half, she was mature for her

age. She was getting ready to graduate. I think she had skipped a grade. She was in the last semester of her senior year. She was going to go off to college. And in fact Ms. Weierstall was never going to train her again. And she had finished up varsity sports when this relationship began.

By all accounts -- I think she says it was the end of November of 2000. Ms. Weierstall says it was about December. So given the worst case scenario, it was about a six month relationship. And during that time there were numerous e-mails from the victim and from the defendant. Numerous telephone calls and numerous letters importuning and imploring her not to leave the victim.

Judge, it is a disturbing case. It's a case that, I'm sure, troubles you in a way. And in reading those letters you can glean from them that during the course of this relationship, the relationship troubled Ms. Weierstall. She indicated to her that she didn't want to harm her, she didn't want to hurt her. In the letters JM says you're not hurting me. I'm the one to give due blame to. That's in the letters, Judge.

She, she was naive and foolish to believe that she was -- that this does not cause harm. She was

Summation

foolish and naive to believe that. But this young girl was telling her that and she was telling her that in emails, telephone calls. I'm the one to give due blame to. I don't want to put you in an awkward position. These are her words. I want to be with you, I love you. These are her words, the victim's words, Judge.

One of the mitigating factors is whether she contemplated if she was going to cause serious harm. She did, and then she was told that she wasn't. And then she went along with it and succumbed. Judge, she contemplated it, was lowering herself to the level of the 16 and a half year old because of her depression and her loss of judgement, and everything that she was going through. She regrets to that level too. And continued the relationship, but tried to get out of it on numerous occasions. You have the letters. And it illustrates that. It's undeniable, Your Honor.

The victim's letter, Judge, it can't be denied this lady graduated from school. She's living a normal life. She's in college. She has a boyfriend. She's partying. She's studying. She's doing everything that people her age should be doing.

Judge, I dare say she didn't write this letter. I don't even know if she signed it. She's not here, no? I think you know that. Judge, I don't know

14. 2 x

if in her -- word processor, she has built in there a docket number and no docket number. I just don't see that this is something that was penned by her hand. The rights of civil behavior, an 18-year-old, I just don't see it, Judge.

But be that as it may, she said some things in here that just don't jive with what's in the evidence of the case. She says that the defendant tried to get her against her parents and her friends. That's not so, Judge. Look at her statement. It's attached to the PSI, presentence report. The statement says that they weren't talking much in April 2001 because the victim's parents had confronted Ms. Weierstall and asked if you were having -- if they were having a relationship. She denied it. She told -- THE COURT: Ms. Lucianna, could you have your

comments go more toward to mitigating towards your client and not so much as to what the victim did? --

MS. LUCIANNA: I will, Judge.

THE COURT: -- I think that would be more what this Court is concerned with, mitigating towards your client and not to pretty much be saying things when the victim is not here to defend herself.

MS. LUCIANNA: I understand that, Judge, -- THE COURT: -- So please (Indiscernible) --

Summation

MS. LUCIANNA: -- but we do have this letter. And I don't want you to think that the defendant tried to isolate this young girl from her parents and friends because the prosecutor may stand up there and say that. And that that is some type of pattern of sex offenders. I don't want the Court to be given that impression because the victim didn't say that in her statement. She said that -- she said listen to your parents, they're right, they're right. We shouldn't see each other. So she did not try to undermine this young girl's -- the parents' authority over her.

Judge, I'm not bringing any of this up to malign this victim. She's blameless. She's 16 and a half years old. We realize that. But there's some inaccuracies in here that I don't want the Court to be given the impression that she tried to isolate her, bad mouth the parents, bad mouth the friends and she'd have her all to herself. That was not the case here. And the victim doesn't even say that in her statement.

And, Judge, I think it's important that I brought out the letters not to expose this girl's privacy. I'm not going to bring out what was said in those letters. But I just want the Court to see what context Ms. Weierstall was acting under.

Judge, this matter has taken its toll on my

22 23

24

25

She's lost everything. She lost her -there's an interim (phonetic) suspending her license to be an athletic trainer. That was a 25 year career. She's lost that. She's lost her livelihood. lost the respect that the community and the school once had for her. She's let a lot of people down.

Judge, she -- her parents -- she lives with her parents. They're in their eighties. She takes care of them. They're in declining health. suffer if she's incarcerated, Judge Conte. They will She cares for them. She's there for them. suffer. And that's one of the mitigating factor, excessive hardship that incarceration will have upon the defendant or her dependents. The parents depend on

Judge, you've read the psychiatric reports in this case. Dr. Groves (phonetic), he says that incarceration is not the answer, that she's not a pedophile, she's not a repeat offender. This was an isolated case. It's an aberrant case. Her house was searched. Computer was searched. There was nothing indicating that this was any pattern of antisocial That she's ever been with any other students behavior. or children or inappropriate relationships. that's important that the Court look at that.

Summation

23

21

22

23

24

25

That -- he also said that she was very, very depressed and that she had diminished mental capacity at the time of the commission of this offense. there is a mitigating factor that there is substantial grounds tending to excuse, but not -- but falling short of the defense. And I ask the Court to take that into account, that she was very depressed all the time. also have the Avenel report, the suicidal ideation. There's a high suicide scale. That is something also I ask the Court to take into account in sentencing. Judge, she has very, very good character. We've had about 25, 30 letters. I'm going to ask the Court after I speak if maybe you'll allow some of these

people to speak on her behalf, just about three or four, if the Court will allow it, that are here. they want to tell you about her. She's not the type of person at all that would repeat this type of conduct. It's not circumstances that are likely to recur. That's another mitigating factor, Judge. It's not likely to recur. No indication you have in the past, no indication it's going to happen in the future.

This was a unique, aberrant relationship. And, Judge, I think you should consider that. effect incarceration would have on her She has a lot of remorse. You have her

letter. She's very sorry for what she did. She recognizes her wrongdoing. She's apologized to the victim, the victim's family, her parents, her friends, the people at the school.

Judge, what good is jail going to do for this individual? It's only going to compound what's already happened here. She's lost everything, everything. At 51-years-old, if she goes to jail she'll come out, she'll have nothing. She'll have to start over.

She recognizes what she did was wrong. She led a blameless life for 49 years with the exception of six terrible, stupid months. Judge, you can give her, you can give her less than the plea bargain. You can. The Legislature has given you that right, that discretion. You can do that.

Judge, this is not like some of the other cases we've had in this courthouse. This was not a teacher with a 13-year-old. This was an athletic trainer who had finished training with a 16 and a half year old that was about to graduate. This was not originally a first degree offense that was downgraded to a second degree or a third degree sentencing. That's not this case. These sentences have to have some power. They've got to make some sense. There's got to be some type of evenhandedness across the board

Summation

with these types of offenses where one person doesn't get less time that committed a more serious act than another person with a less serious act gets more time than that individual. Judge, that can't occur here.

And I'm sure the Court recognizes that, that there are varying shades of criminal conduct. And some people's conduct are less than others who have pled to the same offenses. And some people's conduct have extenuate -- more extenuating circumstances than other offenders.

Your Honor, I ask that you take into account all factors in this case. This is not a case like the other case like we had a couple of months ago. This Court has -- it's not the Jibore case, which you probably reread over last night, about the residual powers. The case that Ms. Baglivi had and I had. That was a five-year-old girl that was involved in that case, a five-year-old stranger.

That's not this case, Judge. And I submit to Your Honor that a jail sentence is not going to do any good here. If you feel that jail is appropriate I'd ask that this Court consider the least amount of time under the plea bargain and to impose the least, which would be the three years.

Judge, I don't know what the prosecutor is

72.q

going to say in this case, but she's probably going to renounce this lady, that there was harm to the victim. She's going to say that -- I feel about that. The defendant feels bad about that. But she has tried to make amends. She's suffered immeasurably, immeasurably. And I ask that the Court sentence her to the minimum time possible. Thank you.

THE COURT: Do you wish to have your client address the Court or one of the family members at this time or friends?

MS. LUCIANNA: Can we have both? Judge, I would just like her briefly --

THE COURT: One at a time, yes, of course.
MS. LUCIANNA: Thank you. I'd like the
defendant, Ms. Weierstall, to say something, and then

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. LUCIANNA: -- I'll pick three or four people, no more, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Weierstall?

MS. WEIERSTALL: Your Honor has my statement before him and you have had her correspondence to me. I would like to express my deepest regrets for this incident. I apologize for Jenna (phonetic) for any pain, shame or embarrassment this may have caused her

Summation

and her family. I apologize to my parents, family and friends, the students, their parents, my colleagues at Glen Rock, as well as though I have cared for and worked with for 25 years.

Your Honor, I have lost everything, my job, my certifications, and I have lost respect. Working at Glen Rock was everything to me. I dedicated many hours over the years working with thousands of athletes. Parents and coaches had confidence in me. They knew that utmost in my mind was the health and well-being of their athletes. The athletes knew I cared about them and respected them. In return they cared about me and respected me.

I once received a plaque from the baseball team which read, quote, "A hundred years from now it will not matter what my bank account was, the sort of house I've lived in or the kind of car I drove. But the world may be different because I was important in the life of a child."

I was important in the lives of many athletes. I was successful at my job and had the confidence of the athletes and their parents. I cannot condone nor excuse my actions that led me to this point. I only know that I am truly sorry. My goal in life has always been to help and give to others. My

Summation

22 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

15

16

actions were so out of character for me. I should have been wiser and thoughtful.

A nervous baseball player once asked my advice about how he should act if they lost the final I told him, which he later quoted for the state game. newspaper, that no matter what, whether win or lose, you should act with class, style and dignity.

I stand before you having made a mistake, Your Honor. With the deepest regret and remorse I ask Jenna, family, friends and the Court for forgiveness and for a second chance.

THE COURT: Ms. Lucianna, do you wish to have someone else speak?

MS. LUCIANNA: Yes, a brother. Richard?

Ms. Weierstall's brother.

MR. WEIERSTALL: Judge Conte, --

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WEIERSTALL: -- may name is Richard Weierstall, brother of Lois. I wanted to speak on behalf of my parents, myself and my brother in this. Excuse me. In all the years we've known her, which is (Indiscernible), she has shown nothing but compassion and a desire to help people. This all (Indiscernible) befuddled us from the very beginning. Ms. Lucianna explained it very nicely. And we feel -- we share her

Summation

29

pain and we feel her pain. And on behalf of the family, her friends, but especially Lois, I could only ask for mercy. I know there are no other words to request what needs to be done. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Lucianna, do you have someone else?

> MS. LUCIANNA: Yes.

Maryanne?

Maryanne Oriem (phonetic).

MS. ORIEM: Good morning, Your Honor. name is Maryanne Oriem. I know you know me because I practiced before Your Honor and in this county. to say she was said and distraught by this event, but the -- I know Lois for probably ten, fifteen years. She has been a very good friend to me in my life, with my partner of 25 years. She has assisted me many times in caring for my life partner who suffers with a debilitating disease of Parkinsons. Lois and her parents have been guests in my home on Christmas Day for a good many years, for Christmas dinner. I have great love and respect for her parents and I have a great love and respect for Lois.

I have nieces the age of the victim and I have never in my life, and still never have any qualms with my good friend Lois being in their company.

24 25 think -- as much as I have a very hard time with this (Indiscernible) occurred, I believe that my friend was suffering from severe depression. I had asked her on several occasion to maybe begin therapy.

And, and this event was a clear aberration in her character. She is a very kind and giving and loving person. And perhaps to a fault. And that this time this mistake has cost her everything. And I'm concerned, Your Honor, clearly that I have seen this taking a physical and emotional toll on her parents, who are, are not just in their eighties, but I believe closing in on ninety. And I'm concerned that, that this will cause them a severe decline in their health. And I'm afraid they'll pass away while she's in prison. And I'm also concerned -- my partner and I have discussed this on several occasions, that we think that jail may be a very harsh sentence as far as we're concerned, and she may become a victim of suicide.

I know she's extremely distraught, extremely remorseful. Anytime I have visited with her in this (Indiscernible) any discussion has just brought her to tears.

I can only beg Your Honor to show some mercy, not only for my friend, but for her elderly parents and impose the minimum sentence that you can under the law.

27-147

Summation

31

2345678

1

21 22

23

24

25

f h

Thank you for your time, Your Honor.

MS. LUCIANNA: Ms. Galvin (phonetic)?

MS. GALVIN: Judge Conte, my name is Mary Ellen Galvin, and I met Lois when my daughter was a student in the high school. She's since graduated and isn't (Indiscernible) daily contact with Lois. But during that time Lois was professional, compassionate, encouraging, just a warm person that she could -- my daughter could turn to when she needed assistance during all sports that she played.

Since, since that time she graduated we've become friends with Lois and Lois has come to my home and our home on many, many occasions. And Lois -- everyone is saying -- and it's true, that Lois has lost a lot. She is just totally remorseful for what she's done and very apologetic to everyone, including the victim's family.

The one thing she hasn't lost is the friendship, love and support of everyone who came here for her today. So I join with, with everyone who is here in her support to ask for your leniency because there is nothing else she has to lose. Thank you for your time.

MS. LUCIANNA: Just one more, Judge. Paul White?

Your Honor, my name is Paul MR. WHITE: I teach at Glen Rock High School and I coach there. I coached football, track and cross country boys and girls. I've known Lois 14 years. own daughters to her when they were injured in athletics. She's one of the finest people I have known. This is an aberration. She would do anything for the students at Glen Rock. Our awards (Indiscernible) there was one thing you could always count on, on awards night, that every team that night would give Lois flowers, a plaque, something. The kids knew she was the one person in the system that didn't care about winning or losing, but cared about them.

As a coach what I liked about having Los around, she was my conscience. When a kid was hurt and I wondered whether I'm going to put him back in, she was looking out for the kid.

She's a wonderful person. This is an aberration. She is thought highly of in the community. She's suffered tremendously. I can only beg Your Honor on behalf -- for mercy.

MS. LUCIANNA: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Ms. Baglivi.

MS. BAGLIVI: Your Honor, there's, there's tears on both sides of this courtroom. There's tears

ned y

Summation

33

on the defendant's side for -- her family is upset about what's going on here. And there's tears on the other side of the courtroom where this victim's parents sit here. And you know what, Judge? There's only person responsible for all the bad feelings here today, and that is from the defendant. It is because of her that we are here.

And, Judge, I would like to apologize to the victim's family for them to have to sit here and listen to the defendant through her attorney blame the victim. And, Judge, I was glad that you finally put a stop to it because quite honestly, I was going to stand up and object.

There were comments like who initiated this contact between this teacher and this student, because that would be important. Judge, why is that important? It's irrelevant. The statute was written to protect children. It doesn't matter who initiated.

Defendant says -- or through Defense counsel says well the defendant tried to end it, and the victim says I love you. And let's blame the parents. Everything that she said here today -- I heard no remorse, no empathy for this victim. All's (sic) I heard about is her. And let's blame the victim. And I think that is disgraceful.

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1 2

3

Ms. Lucianna stands up here and says judge, I don't know who wrote this letter. I don't know who signed this letter. Well, Judge, I'm here to tell you, number one, I cannot believe that Ms. Lucianna even said that. The parents have not even seen this letter. This letter did not go through them. When I spoke to the mother about this she said I haven't even seen the letter. This letter came from the victim. The victim The victim signed it. The parents don't even -- haven't even seen it, and I didn't even show it to them this morning. So for her to stand up and say I don't know who wrote this letter?

Judge, I'd like to talk about what this letter says, because we keep talking about let's blame the victim and the victim is away at school and she's having a grand old time, and this is not affecting her. That is so far from the truth. And, Judge, we will never know what kind of emotional harm was done on this child because she was a child. Mr. Lucianna said she was 16 and a half. This sexual relationship, romantic relationship started when the victim was 14 and ended when she was 16 and a half. Ms. Weierstall even says that to Avenel. And I'll get into Avenel in a minute.

But the victim says -- she wrote directly to the Court. She didn't cc the prosecutor. She didn't

Summation

35

let her parents see it. This letter came straight to the Court from her.

"To put trust in an authority figure at a young age would seem like a natural thing to do. Why would a kid question someone they thought they could Throughout this difficult situation I have certainly felt many different ways. I have finally come to know without any doubt or uncertainty how I I feel I was manipulated, coerced, really feel. violated and certainly abused by this woman, Lois Weierstall. This person made me honestly believe that I wanted things that I now know I certainly did not and never really wanted. She made me lose trust and faith in my parents and also my best friends. Put all of this together and she has pretty much ruined a big part of my life. It is because of her I did not and could enjoy high school the way I should have. Because of all the publicity and media attention people in my community, people I have looked up to, now frown upon Once a rather secure person, I am now uncomfortable and unsure of myself the second I walk out my front door. That feeling may never truly go away."

When I spoke to the mother of the victim on this case on a couple of different occasions she said

22

23

24

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to me I can't understand; my daughter's friends and their mothers are now not allowing my daughter to play with their daughters. Society has to learn that this is not the victim's fault. But people unfortunately still do. And even the townspeople are doing that. And I think that's a very sad commentary.

But this -- you know, Ms. Weierstall stands up and the first things out of her mouth is look at all the, you know, the correspondence between us. it was between a child and an adult. And we're not talking about a 22-year-old teacher. We're talking about a 50-year-old woman who should have known better.

And what she has done to this child we will never really know, but I think you're getting a sense of this. Her whole last year of high school was ruined. People knew, no matter how much the press and the pub -- and the Prosecutor's Office tried to keep the name of the victim out, everyone knew who it was. And she's still feeling that effects now. return home from school holidays back to that town. She has to And all because of this defendant she feels she can't hold her head up high.

Ms. Lucianna says the defendant is depressed. She suffers from diminished mental capacity. all these kinds of problems, she's suicidal.

Summation

37

Judge, all of those things happened after she got arrested for this charge. She's suicidal because she got caught and she's now facing time.

Avenel did not find her compulsive and repetitive, but there's some things in here that they say that are very disturbing. On page three of the report they talk about when they give her all these different types of sex offender tests.

"She agreed with six potentially distorted

beliefs about children and child --

MS. LUCIANNA: Judge, I --MS. BAGLIVI: -- molesting --

MS. LUCIANNA: -- (Indiscernible) -- she

didn't object to mine, but I know -- this is just --MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, this is part of

sentencing --

MS. LUCIANNA: -- you have the report. found her not to be repetitive and compulsive. And I think it's clearly inappropriate for her to quote from It's a confidential report.

MS. BAGLIVI: Absolutely not. It's part of the sentencing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I always have a concern when either side starts quoting from the confidential Avenel I will allow the State to summarize as to what

.

is in that report, but not to quote directly from the report. So if you could please do that. Sustain the objection in part.

MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, I, I -- this is experts that examined this woman that put down what they found. I think it's very important for the Court to be made aware of it. --

THE COURT: I read it.

MS. BAGLIVI: -- And I have no intentions of reading the whole report. But there are statements in here the Court needs to key in on.

THE COURT: I've read them all --

MS. BAGLIVI: If you want me to paraphrase

it. --

THE COURT: -- no, I'm --

MS. BAGLIVI: -- I'm not a medical expert.

THE COURT: Which section are you referring

to? --

MS. BAGLIVI: Well there's a couple of places where I, I, I have marked off. Page three, where they talk about beliefs in these statements, the statement I was just referring to. "... are sometimes to provide justification to adults who sexually abuse children and teenagers."

The fact that she validated some of these is

Summation

of great concern to me. And it was great concern to Avenel.

Additionally -- and I think this is borne out by her own statement here today.

"Her insight at the time appeared poor. Her social judgement was fair. She tended to minimize or ignore the age difference and the fact that she was a school official and JM was a student."

Now Ms. Lucianna says well she wasn't the homeroom student -- the homeroom teacher. I don't know if that makes it any better or any worse. So if it's the principal, if he's not the homeroom teacher it should be ignored? She's the athletic trainer? She's a school official. There was a relationship. She was able to discipline the student. That's what makes it a crime.

And, Judge, Ms. Lucianna -- that's where I wanted to bring out the thing about the defendant -- this relationship started when she was -- the victim was 14.

And she says to Avenel, "The relationship with M -- JM actually began when JM was a child. The relationship did not become a friendship or a relationship until JM was about 14."

So they're saying that this was an isolated

incident over a short period of time is not true. This was over two and a half years.

During the interview with Avenel they said that she showed that she was defensive and showed distorted thinking and beliefs with regards to her relationship with the victim in this case.

Judge, to summarize what Avenel is saying is she has no insight, and to this day when she's interviewed by Avenel she has no insight into the harm that she did. And again, it was borne out by the comments that were made that blame the victim, blame the victim.

It is about time she took responsibility for what she did. She's a 50-year-old woman. As I said, she's not some 22-year-old teacher first starting out in life. She knew what she did was wrong.

She pled guilty, Your Honor, to a second degree crime, sexual assault. The State agreed for, for a marry -- a myriad of different reasons to allow it to be sentence as to one degree lower, four year sentence. Your Honor, that is the appropriate sentence in this case when we're dealing with a situation as this. Ms. Lucianna says well you just can't plug in some numbers and say well this one got this and this one should get this. And I agree with her. You can't

Summation

do that. So to say well on one case you only gave a student and a teacher relationship three years, so this one should be three years, each case is different.

And this case was a longer period of time.

And yes, there are -- there is many -- strike

that.

There were many letters written on Ms. Weierstall's behalf. I understand that. The fact that she had no prior convictions, the fact that she led a law abiding life, all those things were taken into consideration when the plea offer was fashioned. She would never have gotten the benefit of this type of offer if there had been anything like that in her background.

Yes, people wrote letters on her behalf. And what I think is interesting to note, and actually what I find quite appalling is that people from the school wrote letters on her behalf and never once -- and someone got up here and talked on her behalf. And not once mentioned anything about the victim, showed any empathy or sympathy for the victim. And I find that very appalling. Not for her family not to do it. I understand that. But for school officials to write on her behalf and never mention that child that was in the school system. They had an obligation to protect her.

And then they stand up here and talk about her and nobody mentions the victim. I find that is really appalling. It is a concern of mine that the Glen Rock school system -- and it's not all of the Glen Rock school system -- but certain people would write letters on her behalf, an admitted child molester, and say nothing about the one person that they were supposed to protect.

I think people -- citizens in Bergen County and citizens in the state of New Jersey have a right to send their children to school and feel secure in the knowledge that those children will be protected. think it's a safe commentary that lately we seem to be standing up here a lot on these types of cases. you can't -- the one place other than home, where children should be safe, the schools, they're not safe anymore. And while it is only a small number, I think it is, it is a sad commentary that we have to worry now about teachers.

And she would have known, and she violated that trust. And she didn't violate it with this She violated it with all the children that victim. came under her control.

The victim's parents are here today. No, the victim is not here. She's away at school. I wasn't

Summation

43

going to drag her down from college so that she could make a statement here. No, she's not here. Does that mean she wasn't affected by this? Not at all. Her They sat here all morning. parents are here. to sit here and listen to all of what was said about them, about their daughter, blaming them for all of And I do believe one of them would like to say a this. few words.

THE COURT: You do not have to state your last name, so --

MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, these are the parents of the victim.

UNIDENTIFIED: Judge, I hadn't planned on really saying anything. We wanted to show up and be present. But in light of everything that I've heard this morning I just couldn't feel that -- I'd like to say what's on my mind about it.

This has been the most traumatic experience in our entire lives. And it's affected our relationship with our daughter, and it's taken us a very long time to be able to get back and restabilize And what disturbs me is that, while I appreciate everybody's sentiments -- we've been in that school system for a long time. My kids have been taught by teachers -- Mr. White was one of the instructors.

22

23

24

never did I get a phone call from Mr. White to see how Jenna had done. None of those people in that 30 letters ever called us or contacted us about the experience that we went through

And the, the really difficult part about it is that she's 14-years-old. She was the youngest person in her grade, very impressionable, trying to, trying to be successful on her soccer team. And she was looking for, for mentoring and guidance where, wherever she could find it. And the betrayal of trust associated with it -- because I could have been one of those 30 letters. Two years ago I could have sent one of those letters.

But what was the motivation about what was going on there? And that's my question. And I question the character very much here because the deception and the, and the calculated behavior is not what we've seen in this court this morning.

Lois came to our home too. She came to offer to help paint the house and we thought gee, that's a very nice thing to do in whatever relationship she has with our daughter. And before she came we found some letters that were very disturbing, indicating that our daughter was involved in some kind of a relationship with an older person. And so here is somebody that was

Summation

a mentor that we wanted to give every opportunity. But we had our suspicions at that point to say Lois, you're very close to our daughter. And if there's anything inappropriate going on I'm sure you'd let us know. She said oh, yes, yes, absolutely. There's nothing I'm aware of that's going on. Two days later those letters disappeared from the room.

And sometime later there was a continuation of that type of behavior even though we said we would do everything we can to protect her in this, in this situation.

So I don't know if that indicates compulsive behavior or not, but it's not appropriate behavior, and it's not appropriate behavior for someone that a child looks up to and is looking for guidance and direction.

And I'm, and I'm really very upset at the school system because if we're more concerned about our reputations and our towns and what comes out, then we've got a real problem because it would have been much more appropriate for some of those folks to be giving us a call and see what the experience that we have gone through in this.

I just (Indiscernible) -- and it still pains when, when my daughter talks about how she's been alienated within people in town. This is the town that

24

25

18

I lived in, that those kids grew up -- I went to this high school. My kids went to this high school. There's people that I've known for a long time. There are teachers that taught me and have since left and retired that we've had wonderful relationships with. And now we're alienated in our own town because kids are not allowed to associate with my daughter for this having happened to her? It's outrageous. It's just outrageous.

MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, just briefly to sum up

THE COURT: Ms. Baglivi.

MS. BAGLIVI: -- Ms. Lucianna says that she can be considered the idiosyncratic defendant. there's nothing here to suggest that. She -- the fact that she has elderly parents, many people before you have elderly parents that they need to take care of. There is other family and friends, I am sure, that can step in. This defendant does not rise to that level. There would be no reason to put her on probation. needs to be punished. She needs to be told that this was wrong, not inappropriate, criminally wrong. ask that you send that message to her and send that message loud and clear to everybody that would contemplate doing this.

Summation

47

3456780

2

23

24

25

MS. LUCIANNA: Judge, can I just say one thing? And I won't belabor the point. But this is the same prosecutor that offered three years of PIP here. Nothing has changed. This offense hasn't gotten worse since that PIP hearing a couple of months ago. She didn't mention to the Court.

Judge, this was not a two year sexual relationship. It wasn't -- what she meant by that, it was a two year friendship. The victim herself said it was about six months. She said it started in November of 2001. There's nothing to indicate here that it began when she was 14-years-old. So I don't want the Court to get that impression. That's when she started training her and that's when they became friendly.

Judge, Dr. Groves (phonetic) used to work at Avenel. He doesn't find her to be a sex offender. Avenel found that she is not repetitive and compulsive as well. I don't want you to hold those comments against her. She is not responsible for what happened in the community, these girls who now shun her. She is not responsible for that, Judge. She is responsible for what she did and she took responsibility in her letter to the Court. She didn't minimize it. She, she said that she was very sorry and that she was the adult in this relationship and that she -- naturally a

Summation

student would look to her for guidance. She took responsibility. And she feels bad about it, Judge. And I'd ask that you consider a minimal

sentence in the plea bargain. Thank you.

MS BAGLIVI: Judge, I -- you know, this is the second time this morning that I've heard somebody bring up plea negotiations. That is irrelevant. plea negotiations in this case called for a four year That is what she bargained for. And I know sentence. the Court can go lower, but I, I really find it inappropriate -- it would be like me standing up here and saying well the defendant admitted certain things through her attorney to me through plea negotiations. That is totally improper and I have a problem with Ms. Lucianna doing that. And I would ask that you not consider that.

MS LUCIANNA: I think you should, Judge. This is not gamesmanship. This is someone's life. She, she offered it a couple of months ago, three years, and the judge gave the PIP court. Nothing has changed since that time.

MS. BAGLIVI: She didn't take it. Maybe she should have taken it, but she didn't. She made me put the victim through six more months of probably -- I don't want to use the word that I wanted to use, but

Summation

49

probably six months of bad times because this defendant couldn't decide what she wanted to do. She now bargained for four years. And that was only because Ms. Lucianna kept coming over and over again. Because quite honestly, the plea offer wasn't four years any longer. It was higher.

THE COURT: Okay. The Defense has said to the Court, the Court should sentence according to this case and not rely upon what other judges have done in other cases. The State has said please send a message to the community.

I will decide this case based upon the facts and information that pertain to this case only, not what any other judge in any other case or any message that should be sent to society.

I will express, though, there is a concern that you send your children to school or have your children involved in sporting events and you're hoping that they're protected and safe. And unfortunately in this particular case they were not.

There was a comment by Ms Lucianna as far as that -- her client was not repetitive. That's not what Avenel says. It says that she was clearly repetitive She was not compulsive, therefore, not a subject to Avenel.

P. 39

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

22

23

24

25

1

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

The victim and the family have sent me Friends of Ms. Weierstall have sent me letters. There is mention, of course, that she has no criminal record. Unfortunately she was in that position because she had no criminal record. had a criminal record she would not be working with So society, whether it's Glen Rock, protects children. or feels comfortable with their teachers because they have no criminal record. And almost every sex offender that I have sentenced has no prior criminal record.

She was in a position of trust with a That trust relationship started at 14. student. Weierstall was probably 48 at that time. Until the girl was 16 and a half.

Ms. Lucianna says this is a special case. Judge, look at your bar, some of the other cases. looked at all the other cases. This does not (Indiscernible) in any of the requirements for a probation sentence. It does not, it does not meet those requirements. There is comments that your client is suicidal. Those are comments that have been mentioned in other cases. There's comments that your client's family is older. It does not.

The Courts have told me no matter how many mitigating factors you have, it may not fall within the

14 4:34

Sentence

51

preview (sic) of the lesser sentence.

The victim sent me a letter and one of the things that she mentioned in that letter, which I think should be mentioned, is that she believes that Ms. Weierstall should be prosecuted to the fullest extent.

"... if not just for the pain that I've gone through, but the pain my community went through. trusted their children to her and now everyone has a little more doubt and wonder in their minds how safe their children are."

It's a very important aspect of the sentence of this Court. I read the Avenel very clearly and closely and I feel that there is a risk that you will commit another offense, the need to deter you.

I find as mitigating factors, she has no prior history of any criminal activity. I will find number eight, that the conduct result of circumstances unlikely to reoccur. And (Indiscernible) excessive hardship on her family members.

I think the fact that this is a second degree, the State offered a sentence in the range of a third degree, that the plea offer should be the appropriate sentence in this matter.

Ms. Weierstall, I sentence you to the custody and commission of the Department of Corrections for a

Sentence

52

period of four years. There is a fine of \$50 Violent Crimes, \$75 Safe and Secure Community Fund, \$30 Law Enforcement. I think there is one day jail time credit. You will serve approximately nine months and 28 days as a result of that sentence before you're eligible for parole. You'll have to comply with all the Megan's Law requirements, community service, for life and DNA testing. You have a right to file an If you cannot afford an attorney one will be appointed to represent you by the State.

MS. BAGLIVI: Judge, she also agreed to forfeit the computer (Indiscernible). You ordered that. And also would move to dismiss counts one, two, four, five, six, seven and eight.

THE COURT: So indicated. MS. BAGLIVI: Thank you.

THE COURT: I would like to have the, the -both the friends and family just remain here until the sheriff's officers could ask them to leave separately.

23 24 25

> 1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

A. Say

CERTIFICATION

I, Mary Nelson, the assigned transcriber, do hereby certify the foregoing Transcript of Proceedings in the Bergen County Superior Court, Law Division, on September 27, 2002 and recorded on Tape Number 147-02, Index Numbers from 10:47:57 to 11:49:03, is prepared in full compliance with the current Transcript Format for Judicial Proceedings and is a true and accurate compressed transcript of the proceedings as recorded to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Name TAPE REPORTERS, INC.

A.O.C. No. 219 Dated: